



US006011991A

**United States Patent** [19]  
**Mardirossian**

[11] **Patent Number:** **6,011,991**  
[45] **Date of Patent:** **Jan. 4, 2000**

[54] **COMMUNICATION SYSTEM AND METHOD INCLUDING BRAIN WAVE ANALYSIS AND/OR USE OF BRAIN ACTIVITY**

[75] Inventor: **Aris Mardirossian**, Germantown, Md.

[73] Assignee: **Technology Patents, LLC**, Derwood, Md.

[21] Appl. No.: **09/206,365**

[22] Filed: **Dec. 7, 1998**

[51] **Int. Cl.<sup>7</sup>** ..... **A61N 5/00**

[52] **U.S. Cl.** ..... **600/544; 600/545**

[58] **Field of Search** ..... **600/300, 544-545; 128/897-898, 904, 905**

[56] **References Cited**

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

5,059,814 10/1991 Mead et al. .  
5,118,606 6/1992 Lynch et al. .  
5,136,687 8/1992 Edelman et al. .  
5,224,203 6/1993 Skeirik .  
5,303,705 4/1994 Nenov .  
5,325,862 7/1994 Lewis et al. .  
5,461,699 10/1995 Arbabi et al. .  
5,522,863 6/1996 Spano et al. .


5,640,493 6/1997 Skeirik .  
5,715,821 2/1998 Faupel .  
5,719,561 2/1998 Gonzales .  
5,722,418 3/1998 Bro ..... 128/905  
5,730,146 3/1998 Itil et al. .... 600/544  
5,736,543 4/1998 Rogers et al. .  
5,737,485 4/1998 Flanagan et al. .  
5,747,492 5/1998 Lynch et al. .  
5,791,342 8/1998 Woodard ..... 600/544  
5,816,247 10/1998 Maynard .

*Primary Examiner*—Cary O'Connor  
*Assistant Examiner*—Michael Astorino  
*Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Joseph A. Rhoa

[57] **ABSTRACT**

A system and method for enabling human beings to communicate by way of their monitored brain activity. The brain activity of an individual is monitored and transmitted to a remote location (e.g. by satellite). At the remote location, the monitored brain activity is compared with pre-recorded normalized brain activity curves, waveforms, or patterns to determine if a match or substantial match is found. If such a match is found, then the computer at the remote location determines that the individual was attempting to communicate the word, phrase, or thought corresponding to the matched stored normalized signal.

**8 Claims, 3 Drawing Sheets**



THIS IS BEING USED RIGHT ALONG WITH MANY OTHER PATENTS BY THE WHOLE WORLDS MOST EVIL POWERS TO PLAY THE VARIOUS DIETIES ALL OVER THE WHOLE GLOBE. SO IF YOU HEAR YOUR GOD OR GODDESS IN YOUR MIND, YOU ARE HEARING MIND CONTROL TECHNOLOGY IN USE. FOR THE TRUE GOD ABSOLUTELY ONLY TALKS TO THE CHOSEN AND ONLY TRUE PRIESTS OF ISRAEL. AND MAINLY NO ONE ELSE. BUT YES HE DOES TALK TO MANY, BUT ONLY MAINLY TO ISRAEL...

[54] **APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR REMOTELY MONITORING AND ALTERING BRAIN WAVES**

[75] Inventor: **Robert G. Malech**, Plainview, N.Y.

[73] Assignee: **Dorne & Margolin Inc.**, Bohemia, N.Y.

[22] Filed: **Aug. 5, 1974**

[21] Appl. No.: **494,518**

[52] U.S. Cl. .... **128/2.1 B**

[51] Int. Cl.<sup>2</sup> .... **A61B 5/04**

[58] **Field of Search** ..... 128/1 C, 1 R, 2.1 B, 128/2.1 R, 419 R, 422 R, 420, 404, 2 R, 2 S, 2.05 R, 2.05 V, 2.05 F, 2.06 R; 340/248 A, 258 A, 258 B, 258 D, 229

[56] **References Cited**

**UNITED STATES PATENTS**

2,860,627	11/1958	Harden et al. ....	128/2.1 B
3,096,768	7/1963	Griffith, Jr. ....	128/420
3,233,450	2/1966	Fry ....	128/2.1 R
3,483,860	12/1969	Namerow ....	128/2.05 F
3,495,596	2/1970	Condict. ....	128/1 C


3,555,529	1/1971	Brown et al. ....	128/2.1 R
3,773,049	11/1973	Rabichev et al. ....	128/1 C
3,796,208	3/1974	Bloice. ....	128/2 S

*Primary Examiner*—William E. Kamm  
*Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Darby & Darby

[57] **ABSTRACT**

Apparatus for and method of sensing brain waves at a position remote from a subject whereby electromagnetic signals of different frequencies are simultaneously transmitted to the brain of the subject in which the signals interfere with one another to yield a waveform which is modulated by the subject's brain waves. The interference waveform which is representative of the brain wave activity is re-transmitted by the brain to a receiver where it is demodulated and amplified. The demodulated waveform is then displayed for visual viewing and routed to a computer for further processing and analysis. The demodulated waveform also can be used to produce a compensating signal which is transmitted back to the brain to effect a desired change in electrical activity therein.

**11 Claims, 2 Drawing Figures**



# Mind Control Articles by the late Dr. Rauni Kilde (1939-2015)

📅 March 10, 2022   👤 Eric Karlstrom

## MIND CONTROL ARTICLES

<http://www.stopthecrime.net/7%20pages%20for%20media.pdf>

by The New York Times By Dr Rauni Kilde

When The New York Times exposed the CIA-project of behavior modification in July 1977, the then General Director of the secret institution, Stanfield Turner, had to testify at the Senate hearings. On August 3, 1977, he gave an account of a CIA established network, including 80 medical universities, hospitals, and prisons – together with 185 high-ranking U.S. scientists, researchers and doctors. It was mentioned that the program had begun under the Director of the CIA, Allen Dulles, in 1953. This was something Professor John C. Lilly described in his memoirs, “The Scientist”. He said that the Director in 1953 proposed that he join the CIA and participate in experiments. But he refused and the reason he gave for this was also explained in his book: “Dr. Antoine Remond, using our technique in Paris, has demonstrated that stimulation of the brain can be applied to the human without the help of a neurosurgeon; he is doing it in his clinic. This means that anybody with the proper apparatus can carry this out on a person covertly, with no external signs that electrodes have been used on that person. I feel that if this technique gets into the hands of a secret agency, they could have a total control over a human being and be able to change his beliefs extremely quickly.” Today injectable biochips are being utilized in hospitals around the world and the issue has with increased levels of usage become even more shrouded by secrecy and a conspiracy of silence.

During the summer of 1977 The New York Times published 30 articles about the CIA and mind control. But ten years before they'd already published a first editorial demanding a public debate of the threat under the heading “Push-Button People”. As stated in their first editorial on mind control, 10th of April 1967, in relation to the possible political

---

During the summer of 1977 The New York Times published 30 articles about the CIA and mind control. But ten years before they'd already published a first editorial demanding a public debate of the threat under the heading "Push-Button People". As stated in their first editorial on mind control, 10th of April 1967, in relation to the possible political applications they mentioned: "It is quite conceivable that in some countries investigations may be under way into the possibility of using these techniques to control human beings...the mere existence of such a possibility is disturbing, and certainly merits wider public discussion and greater attention than it has received up to now." Britain, Sweden and U.S. were among these nations. Three years later another editorial was published. On September 19th, 1970, The New York Times editorial "Brain Wave" about the dangers posed by mind control made the following point: "If George Orwell were writing a sequel to '1984' today, he would probably reject as archaic the propaganda techniques for controlling people's minds..." They suggested that: "Today, he might envisage a society in which a newborn baby's first experience would be neurosurgery, an operation in which the child's brain was fitted with miniaturized radio devices connected to every major center controlling reason and emotion." They knew what was going on but had no success in bringing about a public debate on the issue. 25 years later the U.S. Senator John Glenn, in trying to regulate the abuse and said in a speech in the Senate: "I hope to be able to assure the people in my home state of Ohio, and those around the country, that their government is no longer conducting experiments unknown to the individual." The situation in Europe is the same and the EU's Ethical Board even published a declaration against mind control. In 2005 they wrote: "How far should we be subject to the control of such devices or by other people using these devices?" and ended with the words: "The Member States have a responsibility to create conditions for a constructive, well-informed debate in this area." This is the most important subject in today's world and mass media have a responsibility to open up that debate – so we must all show support for such action. Neither they nor the population in general would choose to live with state chips in their brains.

## **II. WHAT IS MIND CONTROL?**

By Dr Rauni Kilde, Norway, 2014

The term generally associated with conspiracy theories is in fact a 50-years old ongoing techno-political project. It was with the development of the first supercomputers, that system for controlling the mind; brain and behavior were established. These were elements of the new science of cybernetics, which became public in 1948 when the American



The term generally associated with conspiracy theories is in fact a 50-years old ongoing techno-political project. It was with the development of the first supercomputers, that system for controlling the mind; brain and behavior were established. These were elements of the new science of cybernetics, which became public in 1948 when the American professor Norbert Wiener published his book with the same title. The researchers' mind control project is most often classified as behavior or cognitive manipulation. From the very beginning, more than half a century ago, it was possible to intercept thoughts, memories, and sensory functions such as sight or hearing. Cybernetics was also the first science that could not only measure and analyze what it came in contact with, but also change these processes.

But the military took over and developed it secretly. They became innovator, made up the values and selected researchers partners. From the offset there was a debate on the issue. The scientific magazine Science ran 14-pages in their 1956 November issue under the heading "Some Issues Concerning the Control of Human Behavior", and Professor Carl R Rogers said: "We can choose to use our growing knowledge to enslave people in ways never dreamed of before, controlling them by means so carefully selected that they will perhaps never be aware of their loss of personhood". Adding the probability of political misuse in democratic countries with: "Of all the dictatorships espoused by utopists, this is the most profound, and incipient dictators might well find in this utopia a guidebook of political practice...". This scared many. In the USA books were published, articles written and speeches made by leading people on the dangers. The threat of exploitation of humans via remote control technologies became apparent.

The American professor of psychiatry Joost Meerloo, released his book "The Rape of the Mind" (1956) in which he said: "The tragic facts of political experiences in our age make it all too clear that applied psychological techniques can brainwash entire nations and reduce their citizens to a kind of mindless robotism which becomes for them a normal way of living." In 1968 Dr. Erich Fromm, a psychoanalyst and social philosopher published his book "A Revolution of Hope" and in it wrote: "It is not the old ghost of communism or fascism; it is a completely mechanized society where man himself became a part of the machine. "

It took 9 years until "The New York Times" published the editorial "Control CIA Not Behavior" (5.8. 1977) and gave their opinion: "So we must add repugnant medical experimentation to the list of horror stories emerging from the Central

### III. PENTAGON'S BRAIN SYSTEM

By Dr Rauni Kilde, 2014

One of the reasons why remote control of the brain is unknown for the public is that it is listed among military secrets. It was something that the leading U.S. politician Dennis J. Kucinich experienced when he, in the Congress tried to regulate the U.S. international supercomputer control system. That which uses radiation to influence whole nations, both presidents and the man walking down the street, no matter where in the world he is. Congressman Kucinich presented the bill H.R. 2977 October 2, 2001 and stated: "By using land based, sea based and space based systems, with electromagnetic, psychotronic, sound, laser or other energies directed at individual persons or, targeted population for the purpose of information war, mood management, or mind control..." Any biological functions or brain processes can be directed. With these invisible systems anything from civil war to the modeling of individuals can be engineered. But Kucinich's law proposal was scrutinized by the military and it wasn't allowed to progress. What was called for military secrets could not be presented in a Bill in the Congress. One should also be aware that satellite systems can be used to change the weather, harvests and any other biological process in the nature or in human beings and that was also disclosed.

The bill included the notion "exotic weapon systems" and Kucinich described them as: "The term exotic weapon systems include weapons to damage the space or the natural eco system, the ionic sphere or the upper atmosphere, or the climate with the aim to induce destruction for an exposed population or a specific region on the earth, or space." It might be a great surprise to learn that the U.S. is involved in an unseen war against nations and bringing about destruction in different regions on the Earth. These processes of destruction can influence a nation's harvests, climate, the health of people, its mentality or mood or any other desired factor or variable. But there were further military secrets exposed forcing Kucinich to withdraw the bill. This was some years before the first big tsunami in our time and Kucinich mentioned that both earthquakes and tsunamis could be generated by ray weapons and suggested that it must be prohibited to affect the Earth's tectonic system. It is when these ecosystems in the Earth's crust weaken that the most damaging earthquakes and tsunamis occur.

## V. THE EU's BRAIN PROJECT

By Dr Rauni Kilde

During the 50 years since the brain program with behavior technology was initiated, a lot of protests have occurred, details of which have not yet been published in mass media. One of the best came a few years ago from the European Commission's Ethical Board (EGE), chaired by Swedish professor Goran Hermerén. They issued "Ethical Aspects of ICT-implants in the Human Body", 16 March 2005, (ICT stands for InformationCommunication-Technology and includes everything from electrodes to biochips, implanted by the Health services). The declaration took up the abuse of human beings in medical research, for behavioral manipulation, brain experiments and control of people. They asked what threat the military was against society, democracy, and human autonomy when these implants are in our brains and proceeded to give an idea of the intended scope:

"Brain-computer interface or direct brain control takes information from the brain and externalizes it... How far can such implants be a threat to human autonomy particularly when they are implanted in our brains?" The document asked: "How far can ICT implants give an individual, or a group, specific capabilities that could become a threat to society?" Under the heading "Implants for which special caution is necessary" they stated: "ICT implants influencing the nervous system and particularly the brain and thus influence the human identity as a species, as well as individual subjectivity and autonomy" and they requested the determining question that this changed our nature as human beings: "Contemporary society is confronted with changes that have to do with the anthropological essence of individuals," and raised the matter of whether we are extinct when implanted and without free will: "Does a human being cease to be such a 'being' in cases where some parts of his or her body – particularly the brain – are substituted and/or supplemented by ICT implants?" This is the most totalitarian project to have ever been developed anywhere on Earth.

A couple of years ago, the British magazine, The Economist, published the article "The Future of Mind Control" and said that the brain researchers and their uncontrolled projects were the greatest threat to humanity. "People already worry about genetics. They should worry about brain science too. Yet when it comes to neuroscience, no government or treaty stops anything...Ignoring a possibility does not make it go away. If asked to guess which group of scientists is most likely to be responsible, one day, for overturning the essential nature of humanity, most people might suggest geneticists. In



## KILDE, RAUNI-LEENA

Public health and tropical medicine physician and social activist; born in Finland, November 15, 1939. Lived in Norway from 1991. Died in Finland, February 8, 2015. Bachelor of Medicine 1964, Medical Doctor 1967, University of Turku, Finland 1964. Diploma in tropical medicine, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, 1970, Certificate of social medicine, Nordic School of Public Health, Sweden, 1972. Diploma in Public Health (National Board of Health), Finland, 1974. Diploma in Health Administration, 1980. Intern and resident University Hospital at Turku and regional Hospital, Salo, Member of Staff at various hospitals, 1969-1975. Chief Physician, Pelkosenniemi -Savukoski Hospital, 1970-1972. Physician at United Christian Hospital, Lahore, Pakistan, 1968. Acting Director Environmental Health and Health Education, Finland, 1978. Chief Medical Officer, Lapland, 1975-1987. Chief Medical Advisor, International Red Cross in Malaysia and Indonesia, 1979. Chief delegate of Government of Finland, World Health Organization, tropical medicine, Geneva, Switzerland, 1978-1979. Member of Committee for Arctic Medical Research, Nordic Council, 1981-84. Member of Advisory Board for International Association for Near-death studies, Connecticut, USA, 1983. Vice-President Academy for Clinical Close Encounter Therapies, California, USA, International Bestseller: Author of "There is No Death" 1982, literary award 1983. Member of Security Council, Lapland, 1975-1987. Commodore, President Sailing Club, Lapland, 1976-1977. Member of the Board of Red Cross, Lapland, 1965-1979, President, Nursing College, Lapland, 1976-1987. Recipient Travel Grants, National Board of Health, Finland, 1979- 1981. Anniversary Medal, United Nations, Parapsychology Society, 1992. Medal with Gold Brooch for Civil Defence, Finland, 1991. Member of American Society for Psychical Research, 1983. President and founder of Parapsychological Association of Lapland, 1981-1986, Honorary President 2001- , Member of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1992-1994. Speaks 6 languages. Honorary diploma from CAHRA (Citizens Against Human Rights Abuse), USA, 1999. Member of the Finnish Medical Association and also member of the board of EUCACH – (EU Coalition Against Covert Harassment) 2013 until her death, 2015.

📖 Mind Control

[← Previous](#)

Zionist (Neo-con) Geo-Political Strategy For World  
Domination By "American Empire" (State of the Nation,  
Sept. 11, 2015)

[Next →](#)

THE HORRORS OF CONTRACT STALKING AND  
SATELLITE TORTURE – WHO ARE RESPONSIBLE?  
(Richard Lighthouse; targetedjustice.com- April, 2019)

**The Vatican might possess a device that enables people to see future events which are about to happen, but also important scenes from the past. This could be one of the most well-guarded secrets of humanity that would otherwise explain the preserving influence and power of the Catholic Church.**

**A**ccording to several paranormal writers and scholars, this machinery was built back in the 1950's by a team of twelve scientists, led by Father Pellegrino Maria Ernetti, an Italian physicist who eventually became a priest. They also received precious support from the Nobel laureate Enrico Fermi and famous rocket scientist Wernher von Braun.

Dubbed the Chronovisor, this oversized cupboard was equipped with antennas made from exotic alloys, cathode ray tubes, dials and levers. According to Father Ernetti, its users could capture and record specific locations, events and particularly important individuals from Earth's history. Under the right conditions, it offered possible scenarios that were to occur in the near future. Ernetti said that he was not at liberty to discuss details regarding the Chronovisor. However, he unveiled that it worked by processing residual electromagnetic radiation left over by different processes. The priest used the device to witness several important events, the most notable of which was the crucifixion of Christ.

In 1972 an Italian weekly magazine published a photo unveiling the remarkable device, but Ernetti contested its authenticity. He stated that if any recordings had been kept, they certainly wouldn't have been made available to the general public and would most likely be cautiously guarded in the Vatican Archives.

According to a few unofficial sources, Ernetti even witnessed one of Napoleon Bonaparte's speeches and a Greek tragedy called Thyestes, a play considered lost with only a few fragments surviving. If we are to believe the accounts, Ernetti was a truly privileged man.



In the 1970's the Chronovisor had a different purpose – to obtain images from the future. According to a former participant in the Pegasus Project, the device was used to pave the way for time travel, or at least a rudimental form of it. During that period, the device provided images from 2013, where the Supreme Court building was lying under 100 feet of water. The Capitol was also a submerged ruin.



\*\*\*\*\*

Note Patent numbers below are shown as follows: US04591788 . To research remove the US0 prefix and the remaining 7 digit number is the actual patent number; example of actual patent number = 4591788 .

\*\*\*\*\*

Method and apparatus for  
changing brain wave frequency  
\* some details unavailable

Patent Issued Inventor(s) Applicant(s) Title  
US4397788 </details?&pnio=US04397788> 5 / 1986 Mohr et al. Aisin Seiki Kabushiki Kaisha Magnetic field sensing device </details?&pnio=US04397788>  
US4791239 </details?&pnio=US04791239> 9 / 1988 Hoenig Siemens Aktiengesellschaft Multichannel device with superconductor gradiometers for measuring weak magnetic fields </details?&pnio=US04791239>  
US4791268 </details?&pnio=US04791268> 12 / 1988 Tsumaki Kabushiki Kaisha Toshiba Automatic magnetic field measuring apparatus using NMR principles </details?&pnio=US04791268>  
US4793355 </details?&pnio=US04793355> 12 / 1988 Crum et al. Biomagnetic Technologies, Inc. Apparatus for process for making biomagnetic measurements </details?&pnio=US04793355>  
US4801882 </details?&pnio=US04801882> 1 / 1989 Daalman Siemens Aktiengesellschaft Thin film SQUID magnetometer for a device for measuring weak magnetic fields </details?&pnio=US04801882>  
US4827217 </details?&pnio=US04827217> 5 / 1988 Paulson Biomagnetic Technologies, Inc. Low noise cryogenic apparatus for making magnetic measurements </details?&pnio=US04827217>  
US4864238 </details?&pnio=US04864238> 9 / 1989 Seitz LGZ Landis & Gyr Device for measuring weak magnetic fluxes utilizing planar technology </details?&pnio=US04864238>  
US4931152 </details?&pnio=US04931152> 6 / 1990 Naik et al. Avco Corporation Method for imparting erosion-resistance to metallic substrate </details?&pnio=US04931152>  
US493674 </details?&pnio=US0493674> 8 / 1990 Zankai et al. Biomagnetic analytical system using fiber-optic magnetic sensors </details?&pnio=US0493674>  
US497786 </details?&pnio=US0497786> 12 / 1990 Robinson et al. Biomagnetic Technologies, Inc. Analysis of biological signals using data from arrays of sensors </details?&pnio=US0497786>  
US4994739 </details?&pnio=US04994739> 2 / 1991 Honda et al. Riedel Kil Co., Ltd. Magnetic sensor including sensing element having support terminals soldered to printed conductors </details?&pnio=US04994739>  
US4994742 </details?&pnio=US04994742> 2 / 1991 Lowther Atlantic Richfield Company Hall effect device and magnetic coil circuits for magnetic field detection </details?&pnio=US04994742>  
US501593 </details?&pnio=US0501593> 3 / 1991 Ferguson et al. Security Tag Systems, Inc. Magnetometer for detecting DC magnetic field variations </details?&pnio=US0501593>  
US5018714 </details?&pnio=US05018714> 5 / 1991 Naeve et al. Siemens Aktiengesellschaft Arrangement for measuring physiologically generated weak biomagnetic signals while the examination subject is at rest and exercising </details?&pnio=US05018714>  
US5050538 </details?&pnio=US05050538> 6 / 1991 Morgan et al. SAM Technology, Inc. Low noise magnetoencephalogram system and method </details?&pnio=US05050538>  
US5097819 </details?&pnio=US05097819> 7 / 1991 Crum Biomagnetic Technologies, Inc. Measurement of visually induced biomagnetic responses </details?&pnio=US05097819>  
US5136242 </details?&pnio=US05136242> 8 / 1992 Abraham-Fuchs Siemens Aktiengesellschaft Method for measuring the field pattern of electrical or magnetic fields emanating from a biological power source using a sensor arrangement for obtaining chronological map of the position of the biological power source </details?&pnio=US05136242>  
US5198766 </details?&pnio=US05198766> 3 / 1993 Sprad et al. Bruker Analytische Messtechnik GmbH Apparatus for measuring chemical and/or physical reaction processes by nuclear magnetic resonance spectrometry </details?&pnio=US05198766>

Patent Issued Inventor(s) Applicant(s) Title  
US3490458 </details?&pnio=US03490458> 1 / 1970 Allison ELECTROTHERAPY DEVICE WITH SYNCHRONIZED PULSES AND SOUNDS </details?&pnio=US03490458>  
US3751605 </details?&pnio=US03751605> 8 / 1973 Michelson Beckman Instruments, Inc. METHOD FOR INDUCING HEARING </details?&pnio=US03751605>  
US3951131 </details?&pnio=US03951131> 4 / 1976 Malach Dorn & Margolin Inc. Apparatus and method for remotely monitoring and altering brain waves </details?&pnio=US03951131>  
US4148377 </details?&pnio=US04148377> 1 / 1978 Zoller et al. Siemens Aktiengesellschaft Method for the electrical stimulation of the auditory nerve and multichannel hearing prosthesis for carrying out the method </details?&pnio=US04148377>


Patent Issued Inventor(s) Applicant(s) Title  
US3721230 </details?&pnio=US03721230> 3 / 1973 Ziernicki Overton, III; Marvin C. HIGH-GAIN MONITOR TO DETERMINE ELECTRO-CEREBRAL SILENCE </details?&pnio=US03721230>  
US3727604 </details?&pnio=US03727604> 4 / 1973 Sidwell et al. EMOTIONAL LEVEL INDICATOR </details?&pnio=US03727604>  
US3951134 </details?&pnio=US03951134> 4 / 1976 Malach Dorn & Margolin Inc. Apparatus and method for remotely monitoring and altering brain waves </details?&pnio=US03951134>  
US4134395 </details?&pnio=US04134395> 10 / 1979 Davis Biomagnetia International, Inc. Method of using magnetic fields to conduct a screening diagnostic examination </details?&pnio=US04134395>  
US455771 </details?&pnio=US0455771> 12 / 1985 Stiller et al. Method and apparatus for detecting body illness, dysfunction, disease and/or pathology </details?&pnio=US0455771>  
US4602639 </details?&pnio=US04602639> 7 / 1986 Hoogendoorn et al. Mardio Holding S.A.r.l. Method and apparatus for contactless measurement of charge concentrations and potential differences in biological organisms </details?&pnio=US04602639>  
US465772 </details?&pnio=US0465772> 12 / 1986 Kasa et al. Medcor Movuk Apparatus for measuring the actual psychophysiological condition </details?&pnio=US0465772>  
US468830 </details?&pnio=US0468830> 8 / 1987 Ko et al. The Johns Hopkins University Non-invasive electromagnetic technique for monitoring bone healing and bone fracture localization </details?&pnio=US0468830>  
US4690149 </details?&pnio=US04690149> 9 / 1987 Ko The Johns Hopkins University Non-invasive electromagnetic technique for monitoring physiological changes in the brain </details?&pnio=US04690149>  
US479299 </details?&pnio=US0479299> 10 / 1989 Woodley et al. Apparatus for locating and detecting pain </details?&pnio=US0479299>  
US479455 </details?&pnio=US0479455> 1 / 1988 Ettlinger Scientific Innovations, Inc. NMR imaging method and apparatus </details?&pnio=US0479455>  
US4864282 </details?&pnio=US04864282> 9 / 1989 Tsog Method and apparatus for detecting or measuring the presence of humans or biological organisms </details?&pnio=US04864282>  
US4920518 </details?&pnio=US04920518> 6 / 1990 Alfano et al. Method for detecting cancerous tissue using visible luminescence </details?&pnio=US04920518>  
US4940058 </details?&pnio=US04940058> 7 / 1990 Taff et al. Cryogenic remote sensing physiograph </details?&pnio=US04940058>  
US4951971 </details?&pnio=US04951971> 8 / 1990 Zankai et al. Biomagnetic analytical system using fiber-optic magnetic sensors </details?&pnio=US04951971>  
US4961428 </details?&pnio=US04961428> 10 / 1990 Nilas et al. Northeastern University Non-invasive method and apparatus for describing the electrical activity of the surface of an interior organ </details?&pnio=US04961428>  
US5003979 </details?&pnio=US05003979> 2 / 1991 Merckel et al. The United States of America as represented by the Secretary of the Navy ELECTRIC FIELD MEASURING INSTRUMENT WITH PROBE FOR SENSING THREE ORTHOGONAL COMPONENTS </details?&pnio=US05003979>  
US5050538 </details?&pnio=US05050538> 6 / 1991 Morgan et al. SAM Technology, Inc. Low noise magnetoencephalogram system and method </details?&pnio=US05050538>  
US5092835 </details?&pnio=US05092835> 3 / 1992 Schurig et al. Brain and nerve healing power apparatus and method </details?&pnio=US05092835>  
US512288 </details?&pnio=US0512288> 10 / 1992 Hoenig et al. Siemens Aktiengesellschaft Apparatus and method for measuring weak, location-dependent and time-dependent magnetic fields </details?&pnio=US0512288>  
US513456 </details?&pnio=US0513456> 1 / 1993 Lioff et al. Life Sciences, Inc. Method and apparatus for the treatment of cancer </details?&pnio=US0513456>  
US5161405 </details?&pnio=US05161405> 11 / 1993 Fossel The Beth Israel Hospital Association Apparatus and method for detecting cancer using nuclear magnetic resonance </details?&pnio=US05161405>  
US5307807 </details?&pnio=US05307807> 5 / 1994 Valdes Sosa et al. Centro de Neurociencias de Cuba Method and system for three dimensional tomography of activity and connectivity of brain and heart electromagnetic waves generators </details?&pnio=US05307807>

U.S. References: Show the 18 patents that reference this one </patlit?&unef\_pno=US04724390>

Patent Issued Inventor(s) Applicant(s) Title  
US3422345 </details?&pnio=US03422345> 1 / 1969 Howe METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR MEASURING THE RATIO AND PHASE RELATION OF AN ELECTRIC FIELD TO A CORRELATED MAGNETIC FIELD </details?&pnio=US03422345>  
US3546580 </details?&pnio=US03546580> 12 / 1970 Williams MAGNETIC FIELD VARIOMETER USING A LOW NOISE AMPLIFIER AND A COIL-CORE ARRANGEMENT OF MINIMUM WEIGHT AND MAXIMUM SENSITIVITY </details?&pnio=US03546580>  
US3656260 </details?&pnio=US03656260> 5 / 1972 Thomas et al. The United States of America as represented by the Secretary of the Navy ELECTRIC FIELD MEASURING INSTRUMENT WITH PROBE FOR SENSING THREE ORTHOGONAL COMPONENTS </details?&pnio=US03656260>  
US3911435 </details?&pnio=US03911435> 10 / 1973 Marton et al. Dual frequency radiometer </details?&pnio=US03911435>  
US4066410 </details?&pnio=US04066410> 2 / 1977 Roberts Chaps; Lee & Corona discharge detection system for detecting the presence of any corona discharge in an electrical system </details?&pnio=US04066410>  
US4195956 </details?&pnio=US04195956> 4 / 1978 Wasylowych et al. Societe Nationale Elf Aquitaine (Production) Device for direct and continuous receiving and measuring of electrical magnetic and acoustic signals </details?&pnio=US04195956>  
US4298164 </details?&pnio=US04298164> 8 / 1980 Weischel General Electric Company Sensor for electromagnetic waves caused by nuclear detonation </details?&pnio=US04298164>  
US429697 </details?&pnio=US0429697> 10 / 1988 Petrovsky et al. Westinghouse Electric Corp. Magnetometer instrumentation with digitally controlled range changing </details?&pnio=US0429697>  
US4277744 </details?&pnio=US04277744> 1 / 1979 Audouin et al. Aeritalia S.p.A. Setore Avionica Apparatus for measuring electric and magnetic fields </details?&pnio=US04277744>  
US435906 </details?&pnio=US0435906> 8 / 1983 Ryan et al. Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Co. Storm mapping system </details?&pnio=US0435906>

\*\*\*\*\*

NOW LOOK AT  
THIS EVIDENCE  
OF MANKIND  
MAKING GOLD  
YEARS AGO AS  
EASY AS PIE



#### A Nuclear Reaction in a Beaker

Can man produce gold from a chemical reaction? Science, in its infinite wisdom will be quick to answer — “No!” Although, don’t tell my scientific associates, but what you are observing in the photo on this page is gold being nuclearly formed in the first five minutes of a mercury cell’s operation. From inherent characteristics, the gold is formed microns above the surface and due to an ionic imbalance, moves to the furthestmost point from the mercury. As the gold stabilizes, it forms a film on the mercury by an effect known as “surface tension.” When the effect of the gold surpasses the mercury’s surface tension binding energies, the gold then enters the mercury, forming an amalgam. For the technically astute, the mercury was tripled distilled free of contamination while the electrolyte electrodes consisted of ultra-pure material — totally free of precious metals. It is a nuclear reaction in a beaker.

When Nikola Tesla was in his thirties, a laboratory was in operation on Staten Island, New York. This laboratory, even though commissioned for research, was an esoteric center for synthetic gold production. Under the direction of Dr. Stephen H. Emmens, the laboratory produced 640 ounces of gold which was sold to the US Mint in 1897 and 1898.

#### “Science” Blocks Transmutation Research

Now, for those of you unaware, this was an important era in the world of science. Numerous free-thinking philosophers were starting to emerge, all struggling to be first in the quest of knowledge which would fundamentally explain our universe. Instead of totally re-inventing the wheel of science, the scholars of this era opted to use previous teachings to be more or less fundamentally correct. For example, a fundamental was developed by French chemist Monsieur Antoine Laurent Lavoisier in the late 1700s, a fundamental which remained unchallenged. This law is:

*Nothing is lost, nothing is created, everything is transformed.*


such as the one shown below.

The singular course I speak of is nuclear reactions (the transmutation of one element to another) can only occur when extreme high energies are present. This doctrine completely closed the door for science to even consider that alchemic events could occur. That is, the transmutation of one element to another from energies generated from a chemical reaction.

During this era, Pierre and Marie Curie made two contributions to science. The first being, they, through meticulous efforts, separated a few grams of radium from seven tons of pitchblende. This material is the primary host mineral for the element uranium. It was their hope that they could find elements of great densities which were unstable—that is—undergoing decay, by some mechanism, to new elements. Their success was observable proof that we were on the brink of a new era in science. Their second contribution lacked fanfare, for they were the first two people known to die from radiation exposure.

In conjunction with the Curies, other noted researchers, such as Tesla, Einstein, Plank, Bohr and Rutherford, to name a few, were quickly finalizing the foundation of

see *ALCHEMY* page 64.



A nuclear reaction in a beaker! What you are observing in this photo is gold being nuclearly formed in the first five minutes of a mercury cell’s operation.